

RELEASE IN FULL

---

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 24, 2010 12:15 PM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** FW: Public Afghanistan Strategy

FYI

---

**From:** Jones, Paul W  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 24, 2010 12:07 PM  
**To:** Sullivan, Jacob J; Mills, Cheryl D; Verma, Richard R; Slaughter, Anne-Marie  
**Cc:** Rodriguez, Miguel E; Feldman, Daniel F; Misko, Sean A  
**Subject:** RE: Public Afghanistan Strategy

The approach we took was to integrate our emphasis on women throughout the strategy, vice indicating that it was a separate effort. All of our programs benefit women, usually by specific design. Below are a few places under education, health and judicial access where women's issues are highlighted in the strategy. We are completely open to how best to address this.

Page ii - "improving ed opps for all Afghans regardless of gender, is a top priority"

Page 11 - protecting women's rights in Af cited in context of judicial reform

Page 12 - "emphase on legal aid, gender, and juv justice issues" in enhancing access to justice

Page 18 - "significant gains in...ensuring ed opportunities for all Afghans, regardless of gender"

Page 21 - protecting women in Pak cited as priority

---

**From:** Sullivan, Jacob J  
**Sent:** Sunday, January 24, 2010 11:44 AM  
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D; Verma, Richard R; Slaughter, Anne-Marie  
**Cc:** Rodriguez, Miguel E; Jones, Paul W  
**Subject:** Re: Public Afghanistan Strategy

Yes, it is accurate. Unless I am badly mistaken, the report does not have a separate section on women.

---

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**To:** Verma, Richard R; Slaughter, Anne-Marie  
**Cc:** Sullivan, Jacob J; Rodriguez, Miguel E; Jones, Paul W  
**Sent:** Sun Jan 24 11:41:50 2010  
**Subject:** RE: Public Afghanistan Strategy

The real issue is – is it accurate that the strategy does not have a section regarding women, which would seem almost impossible.

cdm