

RELEASE IN PART B6

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 7:12 AM
To: 'millscd@state.gov'
Subject: Re: Cyber

Insert "public" before diplomacy?

----- Original Message -----

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Feb 14 07:10:53 2011
Subject: RE: Cyber

He defines his mission as follows: to "guide the Department's strategy maximizing the use of technology in service of our diplomatic goals."

-----Original Message-----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, February 14, 2011 7:10 AM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Cyber

I'm w you and we should attach [redacted] to Public Dip since I thought the new position was about security. But maybe we are selling [redacted] short and he should help define the new office mission. Let's also discuss.

B6

----- Original Message -----

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Mon Feb 14 07:07:03 2011
Subject: Cyber

Also

Flagging for you an issue that my sensitivities did not pick up on to until now.

Chris Painter is coming on board to do cyber -- when I interviewed him it was to do cyber security but over the course of the process apparently evolved to doing cyber issues. In that vein, it is clear he and Jim see that as meaning that all of [redacted] work is approved and coordinated through him consistent with the mandate of his office. In particular, one of the talking point bullets for folks after your speech is:

- As announced in the Quadrennial Diplomacy and Development Review, the Secretary is establishing the Office of the Coordinator for Cyber [Security] Issues and has named Mr. Chris Painter as its head. [NOTE: Many submitted edits that the office is titled Office of the Coordinator for Cyber Issues, as per the QDDR.]

I am confident Jim will identify the encompassing of the work and agenda [] does as part of his goal; Phil Verveer also sent me a message last night indicating that he too thought it critical that Chris' role be clear that he has all cyber.

B6

I am disinclined to mess with what [] has been achieving so would seek to make that distinction clear, even if just an exception of one. But where are you on this?

cdm