RELEASE IN PART B5

B5

From: Mills, Cheryl D < MillsCD@state.gov> Sent: Sunday, May 9, 2010 9:33 PM To: Subject: RE: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo To ensure you have support when I do think it may be OBE; AMS does not think it is. cdm ----Original Message----From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com] Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2010 6:10 PM To: Mills, Cheryl D Subject: Re: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo I don't understand what it will be used for then? ---- Original Message ----From: Mills, Cheryl D < Mills CD@state.gov> To: H Sent: Sun May 09 17:58:41 2010 Subject: RE: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo We wrote it. ----Original Message-----From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com] Sent: Sunday, May 09, 2010 5:53 PM To: Mills, Cheryl D Subject: Re: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo Who wrote this? Is it from DOD? ---- Original Message -----From: Mills, Cheryl D < MillsCD@state.gov> To: H Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>; Slaughter, Anne-Marie <SlaughterA@state.gov>; Lew, Jacob J <LewJJ@state.gov> Sent: Sun May 09 17:01:44 2010 Subject: Final Proposed Version of Gates/Mullen Memo Attached and below is a memo drafted to address the competing visions of development and in particular, the role of State/USAID. It was drafted before we sent the memo on the PSD. I'm not certain it still has applicability as a separate memo though providing it to Gates and Mullen to see if they agree

and if so, they provide additional support for the vision

B5

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05770279 Date: 02/29/2016

B5

Implications for		
Implications for		
Implications for	·	
Implications for		
Implications for		
Implications for	·	
Implications for		
Implications for		

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05770279 Date: 02/29/2016

<<2010-05-9 nonpaper re Gates Vision.docx>>