RELEASE IN PART

B5

B5

B5

B5

	Kahallanaha Kahili Batata a
rom:	Koh, Harold Hongju <kohhh@state.gov></kohhh@state.gov>
ient:	Sunday, April 8, 2012 7:18 PM
io:	H Re: Possible legislative responses to strip search decision
ubject:	Re. Possible legislative responses to strip search decision
) my in laws loved tur	mblr. And they are all Republicans!
see you soon!	
From: H [mailto:HDR2 Sent: Sunday, April 08 Fo: Koh, Harold Hongj Subject: Re: Possible	9, 2012 04:49 PM
Anyway, Happy Easter	and I'm glad you're w me in Tumbirand so much else!
Sent : Friday, April 06, To : H	ngju [mailto:KohHH@state.gov] 2012 06:26 PM legislative responses to strip search decision
In any case, Happy Eason the plane to Libya!	ster! Your Tumblr "texts" are making me famous because I am in every picture, sitting behind you
From: H [mailto:HDR.] Sent: Friday, April 06, To: Koh, Harold Hong, Subject: Re: Possible	, 2012 6:17 PM
Thx.	
From: Koh, Harold Ho Sent: Thursday, April	ongju [mailto:KohHH@state.gov] 05, 2012 03:14 PM
To: H Subject: Possible lea	islative responses to strip search decision

As we discussed, this week, in a 5-4 decision, the Supreme Court upheld the use of visual strip searches (without physical contact) for every individual who enters the general population of a detention facility. Under this rule, the Fourth Amendment does not require reasonable suspicion that the detainee is hiding contraband, or

that the detainee is alleged to have committed more than a minor offense, before prison or jail officials conduct such a search. The Department of Justice had filed an amicus brief supporting this result.

where the detainee is to be segregated from the general population. Justice Kennedy's majority opinion,

As noted by the Chief Justice and Justice Alito, the Court left open the possibility of exceptions, such as

B5.

however, appears to rule out a test based on the seriousness of the offense. Justice Breyer, in his four-justice dissent, would have held that a strip search of an individual arrested for a minor offense that does not involve drugs or violence is "unreasonable" unless supported by reasonable suspicion. Critics of the Court's opinion have argued that it embraces a "police-state logic" that seeks to eliminate any and all security risks, without balancing that goal against other values such as privacy, liberty, and anti-discrimination.						
	review.					
	review.					

UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05796108 Date: 02/29/2016

UNCLASSIFIED	UNCLASSIFIED U.S. Department of State Case No. F-2014-20439 Doc No. C05796108 Date: 02/29/2016				

B5