

RELEASE IN PART
B5

From: H <hrod17@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Saturday, November 7, 2009 10:56 PM
To: 'rodriguezme@state.gov'
Subject: Re: House Floor Debate

Very interesting-- [redacted]
Any news about the Ds still holding out?

----- Original Message -----
From: Rodriguez, Miguel E <RodriguezME@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Nov 07 22:51:21 2009
Subject: Re: House Floor Debate

Roll Call is reporting that Republican Congressman Joseph Cao may vote in favor of the final bill if Rep. Stupak's abortion amendment passes. You'll recall Cao defeated Rep. William Jefferson. [redacted]

B5

----- Original Message -----
From: Rodriguez, Miguel E
To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>
Sent: Sat Nov 07 20:24:18 2009
Subject: Re: House Floor Debate

I've been told [redacted] that the count isn't terribly firm, but they're still hovering around 217-18.

Politico is reporting that Rep. Lipinski is a NO which contradicts what he told Secretary LaHood.

The vote is expected around 9 pm this evening, although that timing could be sliding.

----- Original Message -----
From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>
To: Rodriguez, Miguel E
Sent: Sat Nov 07 19:28:23 2009
Subject: Re: House Floor Debate

What's the latest count?

----- Original Message -----
From: Rodriguez, Miguel E <RodriguezME@state.gov>
To: H
Sent: Sat Nov 07 17:20:16 2009
Subject: House Floor Debate

I'm not sure if you're interested in these kinds of updates, but there was just a testy exchange between Boehner and Rangel on the floor over the Stupak abortion amendment.

4:54 PM ET -- Rep. Rangel to GOP Leader Boehner: "Shame on you."

Minority Leader John Boehner (R-Ohio) and Rep. Charlie Rangel (D-N.Y.) just got into a testy exchange when Boehner asked Rangel if he would guarantee that pro-life language in the House version will remain in the bill through conference committee with the Senate.

"You've been here long enough to truly understand how this system works," Rangel responded, saying that he couldn't guarantee him anything. Even if he could, said Rangel, such a guarantee "might be a violation of our ethics laws."

From there it heated up, with Boehner saying that allowing a vote on the amendment was a "shell game" because the party planned to remove it later. "Shame on you," responded Rangel, with one of the more direct insults you'll see on the House floor, where speakers are supposed to direct their comments to the chair, not directly to other members.

----- Original Message -----

From: Rodriguez, Miguel E

To: 'hdr22@clintonemail.com' <hdr22@clintonemail.com>

Sent: Sat Nov 07 16:55:06 2009

Subject: Re: Marion Berry

[Redacted]

B5

The other member [Redacted] targeting is Scott Murphy (NY-20). I didn't commit you to anything since I'm unfamiliar with the nature of your relationship with him.

Murphy has some bigger hurdles. He's currently a "NO". [Redacted]

[Redacted]

----- Original Message -----

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

To: Rodriguez, Miguel E

Sent: Sat Nov 07 16:43:16 2009

Subject: Re: Marion Berry

[Redacted] Ross hasn't called me back [Redacted]

[Redacted]

----- Original Message -----

From: Rodriguez, Miguel E <RodriguezME@state.gov>

To: H

Sent: Sat Nov 07 16:12:06 2009

Subject: Re: Marion Berry

That's tremendous news.

Congratulations.

----- Original Message -----

From: H <HDR22@clintonemail.com>

To: Rodriguez, Miguel E

Sent: Sat Nov 07 16:09:36 2009

Subject: Marion Berry

Talked w him. he's a "yes".

B5