

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>
Sent: Saturday, October 24, 2009 10:49 AM
To: preines [redacted] H; Huma Abedin
Subject: Re: Parade

B6

I second Philippe's take, on all counts.

----- Original Message -----

From: PIR <preines([redacted])>
To: Evergreen <HDR22@clintonemail.com>; Huma Abedin [redacted] Sullivan, Jacob J
Sent: Sat Oct 24 10:26:17 2009
Subject: Re: Parade

B6

Photo is gorgeous (and there are 20 more online, some are really great)

The article is good, just way too short, so it became very matter of fact, didn't include a lot of the great stuff he saw that day. They cut what he turned in in half.

But for this readership, that length is probably perfect. It supposedly gets seen by 70 million people. 69 million probably never open it up and just see it in their Sunday paper, so the cover is the ballgame. Story is basically an excuse for the cover. And the cover is a homerun.

In the end, I firmly believe it will be the totality all these in-depth projects like Vogue, National Geographic, Nightline, Time - which I know are annoying - that are going to create a collage documenting your success, especially in terms of style and work ethic, which I believe is what people are most interested when it comes to their perception and approval of you.

-----Original Message-----

From: Evergreen
To: PIR
To: Huma Abedin
To: 'sullivanjj@state.gov'
Subject: Parade
Sent: Oct 24, 2009 10:17 AM

What did you think of the photo and article?