

RELEASE IN PART  
B6

---

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 2:16 PM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** FW: HAK Document Review Proposed Protocol and Associated Costs

FYI

---

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 2:15 PM  
**To:** 'Smith, Jeffrey H.'  
**Cc:** Kennedy, Patrick F; edward.wittenstein [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: HAK Document Review Proposed Protocol and Associated Costs

B6

Dear Jeff

Thank you for this update – we look forward to following up with you when you are ready.

Best.

cdm

---

**From:** Smith, Jeffrey H. [mailto:[redacted]]  
**Sent:** Thursday, July 26, 2012 11:07 AM  
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**Cc:** Kennedy, Patrick F; edward.wittenstein [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: HAK Document Review Proposed Protocol and Associated Costs

Cheryl,

I am writing to report that we (A&P and Yale) have been discussing your proposal.

Also, I have spoken with Gary Stern and Nancy Smith at the National Archives. I told them that State has been working this issue hard and that we are considering something with you that might work.

I gave them NO details of your proposal. I did NOT mention you by name or position. I did say that Dr. Kissinger and Yale were interested in finding a solution that would lead to the declassification of the entire collection and not just the documents that are the responsibility of State. They will give some thought as to how such a solution might be structured and will get back to me.

I am sure you appreciate that if we're going to raise private funds for the declassification effort, we'll need to have confidence that the entire collection will be declassified.

I apologize for the delay in getting back to you, but I want to keep you posted on our efforts.

Again, many thanks to you and Pat for your efforts. I hope you will both get some time off in August.

Jeff

---

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 18, 2012 9:46 AM  
**To:** Smith, Jeffrey H.  
**Cc:** Kennedy, Patrick F; [edward.wittenstein](mailto:edward.wittenstein) [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: HAK Document Review Proposed Protocol and Associated Costs

B6

Jeff

Look forward to following up when convenient .

Best.

cdm

---

**From:** Smith, Jeffrey H. [mailto: [redacted]]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 18, 2012 9:44 AM  
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**Cc:** Kennedy, Patrick F; [edward.wittenstein](mailto:edward.wittenstein) [redacted]  
**Subject:** RE: HAK Document Review Proposed Protocol and Associated Costs

Cheryl,

Many thanks to you and Pat for your efforts. I spoke with Dr. Kissinger yesterday and he is most interested to learn the details of your proposal.

I'll discuss this with him and with Ted, as Yale has a major interest in this.

HAK is traveling for the next few days, but we'll get back to you as soon as possible.

Again, many thanks for taking this on - the Department has more than a full plate and I am personally very grateful for your attention.

All the best,

Jeff

---

**From:** Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 18, 2012 9:36 AM  
**To:** Smith, Jeffrey H.  
**Cc:** Kennedy, Patrick F; [edward.wittenstein](mailto:edward.wittenstein) [redacted]  
**Subject:** HAK Document Review Proposed Protocol and Associated Costs  
**Importance:** High

Dear Jeff

I am following up on our conversation on Sunday. I am copying Ted to whom I had first raised this potential approach on a call two weeks ago.

Please find below the draft of the proposed approach I received.

After reviewing it and discussing as you deem appropriate internally, I would welcome a discussion regarding: 1) whether to proceed; 2) the mechanism for the coverage of these expenses consistent with our regulations and guidelines; 3) timeline for proceeding and 4) assurances that this satisfies the issues that have been raised by HAK regarding his historical materials.

Many thanks – hope this gets us there.

Best.

cdm

---

**From:** Kennedy, Patrick F  
**Sent:** Wednesday, July 18, 2012 7:28 AM  
**To:** Mills, Cheryl D  
**Subject:** FW: Revised HAK LOC  
**Importance:** High

Cheryl

H/W revised paper that addresses your questions

Regards

pat

---

**The following is a three phase approach to reviewing the "Kissinger" papers that are currently at the Library of Congress (LOC). Estimated costs below based on what we understand is a collection of approximately 250,000 pages of State Department documents. If the volume is higher or lower, the estimated costs would adjust accordingly.**

With respect to the protocols outlined below, Department officials would bring the actual documents from the LoC collection to the Department for Phases 2 and 3 to ensure the primary source collection at the LoC properly is tagged with classification/declassification markings (thus, we would not review materials online on the RAC because that process would not permit tagging of documents as they were identified).

**Phase 1: Collection Assessment: \$75K**

- Initial assessment and identification of unique State records (those records that potentially are not part of State record collection at NARA)
- 882 effort hours @\$85/hour (average rate for regular/senior reviewer contract costs)
- Copying costs for the unique State records (to compare with/supplement the State record collection at NARA): TBD

**Phase 2: Administrative Processing: \$113K**

- Boxing, inventorying, transporting, and listing the entire collection of State documents (to record review decisions)
- 3,530 effort hours @ \$32/hour (estimated rate for contractor support services)

**Phase 3: Document Review: \$4.56M**

- Review and mark (with declassification stamp, as appropriate) the entire collection (estimated 250,000 pages) including any hand-written annotations
- 53,650 effort hours – including interagency coordination - @\$85/hr

**TOTAL COST: \$4.75M**

It is important to note that this review would be done in accordance with the Department's standard practice for historical records, which is to declassify a document in full or withhold it in full. To redact portions of a document would require a much more time-consuming, labor-intensive and expensive review such as we did for the telephone "transcripts." (Note: In a rough order of magnitude, based on the actual costs of that exercise, it could cost **over \$13M** to complete a review involving redactions of the LoC material from HAK's tenure as SecState.)

---

U.S. Treasury Circular 230 Notice

Any U.S. federal tax advice included in this communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding U.S. federal tax-related penalties or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter addressed herein.

This communication may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who receives this message in error should notify the sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete it from his or her computer.

For more information about Arnold & Porter LLP, click here :  
<http://www.arnoldporter.com>

---

U.S. Treasury Circular 230 Notice

Any U.S. federal tax advice included in this communication (including any attachments) was not intended or written to be used, and cannot be used, for the purpose of (i) avoiding U.S. federal tax-related penalties or (ii) promoting, marketing or recommending to another party any tax-related matter addressed herein.

This communication may contain information that is legally privileged, confidential or exempt from disclosure. If you are not the intended recipient, please note that any dissemination, distribution, or copying of this communication is strictly prohibited. Anyone who receives this message in error should notify the sender immediately by telephone or by return e-mail and delete it from his or her computer.

For more information about Arnold & Porter LLP, click here :  
<http://www.arnoldporter.com>