

RELEASE IN FULL

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, February 7, 2011 6:54 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Frame for Response to Wisner Questions

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 6:46 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Hammer, Michael A
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Re: Frame for Response to Wisner Questions

Patton Boggs just released a statement that they got 10,000 for one project with the Embassy and Egypt is not a client. So no conflict after all.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 06:29 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; Hammer, Michael A
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Frame for Response to Wisner Questions

thanks

From: Crowley, Philip J
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 4:30 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D; Hammer, Michael A
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: RE: Frame for Response to Wisner Questions

This frame survived the briefing. The only question outside the frame was whether we knew Egypt was a client. My answer was, we know Frank Wisner without feeding it further. The "uniquely positioned" aspect was broadly viewed as credible. We'll be dancing about this for a couple of days. I refused to engage on the question of conflict of interest, which was good advice.

PJ

This email is UNCLASSIFIED.

From: Mills, Cheryl D
Sent: Monday, February 07, 2011 1:10 PM
To: Crowley, Philip J; Hammer, Michael A
Cc: Sullivan, Jacob J
Subject: Frame for Response to Wisner Questions

- Frank Wisner is a private citizen who gave of his time
- He engaged in a one time mission to deliver a blunt and candid private message

- He was uniquely positioned to deliver this message and have it heard by President Mubarak
- We appreciated his willingness to do so
- He has no on-going capacity in service of the government
- His public statements reflect his own personal views and do not reflect US policy
- [If asked: With respect to his public statements, he did not consult with us prior to making them]
- [if asked: as we have said from the beginning, the message was private]