

RELEASE IN PART  
B1,1.4(B),1.4(D), B5

**From:** Sullivan, Jacob J <SullivanJJ@state.gov>  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 16, 2011 12:18 PM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** Re: FT

Okay - will go back on it.

----- Original Message -----

**From:** H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 16, 2011 12:05 PM  
**To:** Sullivan, Jacob J  
**Subject:** Re: FT

It reads oddly since it had to be chopped up. Could we get a chance to submit op ed based on speech but not this kind of choppy version which does disservice to ideas? Also, I think we could use the space to drive debate on ideas like rules-based reciprocity and withiut the "State Departmentese".

----- Original Message -----

**From:** Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:SullivanJJ@state.gov]  
**Sent:** Sunday, October 16, 2011 11:41 AM  
**To:** H  
**Subject:** Re: FT

1.4(B)  
1.4(D)  
B1

Below is draft. In other news, I've left msg and sent email to Tom.

Ban talked to Abbas on UNESCO and


[Redacted]

[Redacted]

Op-ed: Classified by DAS, A/GIS, DoS on 09/30/2015 ~ Class: CONFIDENTIAL ~ Reason: 1.4(B), 1.4(D) ~ Declassify on: 10/16/2026

[Redacted]

B5



----- Original Message -----

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]  
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 11:03 AM  
To: Sullivan, Jacob J  
Subject: Re: FT

Good--can I see draft? Any other news to discuss?

----- Original Message -----

From: Sullivan, Jacob J [mailto:SullivanJJ@state.gov]  
Sent: Sunday, October 16, 2011 10:59 AM  
To: H  
Subject: FT

Looks like FT will run an oped length version of your speech - we're tweaking now.