

RELEASE IN PART
B6

From: Mills, Cheryl D <MillsCD@state.gov>
Sent: Monday, May 9, 2011 8:53 PM
To: H
Subject: RE: Orthodox Jewish paper apologizes for doctored pic (AP)

Didn't see it

From: H [mailto:HDR22@clintonemail.com]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 7:05 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: Re: Orthodox Jewish paper apologizes for doctored pic (AP)

What was her tweet?

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 06:20 PM
To: H
Subject: FW: Orthodox Jewish paper apologizes for doctored pic (AP)

From: Anne-Marie Slaughter [mailto:]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 6:19 PM
To: Mills, Cheryl D
Subject: RE: Orthodox Jewish paper apologizes for doctored pic (AP)

B6

Must have been my tweet.

From: Mills, Cheryl D [mailto:MillsCD@state.gov]
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 6:15 PM
To: Anne-Marie Slaughter
Subject: FW: Orthodox Jewish paper apologizes for doctored pic (AP)

From: OpsNewsTicker
Sent: Monday, May 09, 2011 6:11 PM
To: PAFOGroup2@state.gov; NEWS-Mahogany
Cc: SES-O_OS; SES-O_Shift-III; SES-O_CMS
Subject: Orthodox Jewish paper apologizes for doctored pic (AP)

NEW YORK (AP) - An Orthodox Jewish newspaper has apologized for digitally deleting Secretary of State Hillary Clinton from a photo of President Barack Obama and his staff watching the operation that killed Osama bin Laden.

The Brooklyn weekly Di Tzeitung (deet SEYE'-tuhng) published the image last week.

The paper said Monday that its photo editor had not read the "fine print" accompanying the White House photo that forbade any changes. The paper says it has sent its "regrets and apologies" to the White House and State Department.

An editor at another weekly that covers Jewish issues addressed why the paper might have altered the image.

The Forward managing editor Lil Swanson says some ultra-Orthodox Jews refuse to run photos of women because they believe such images are "immodest."

A second woman was also deleted from the photo: Counterterrorism Director Audrey Tomason.

NewsTickers alert senior Department officials to breaking news. This item appears as it did in its original publication and does not contain analysis or commentary by Department sources.